Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/734,902	RABINOWITZ ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mina Haghighatian	1616
All Participants:	Status of Appli	cation:
(1) <u>Mina Haghighatian</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Barry Swanson</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>15 December 2005</u>	Time:	
_	☐ Applicant's representative No)
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 43, 44, 71, 72 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	HE GENERAL NATURE OF	WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a state of the interview in the Allowance of the applicant to interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a state of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a state of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a state of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. 	eation. The examiner will pro separate record of the subst	vide a written summary of the substance ance of the interview, since the interview
	·	
nux		
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/Applicant's Repre	sentative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner telephoned Mr. Swanson to discuss a potential new matter issue with the specification and certain claims. It was suggested to Mr. Swanson to change the particle size range of claims 43 and 71 to read "0.1 to 5" and adding the term "about" before "0.2" and "3" in claims 44 and 72. Specification was suggested to be amended to include the same range also. Mr. Swanson agreed to all the suggestions and it was decided to have the amendments done by an Examiner's amendment (attached).